

Surface plasmon resonance imaging coupled to on-chip mass spectrometry: a new tool to probe protein-GAG interactions

Cédric Przybylski, Florence Gonnet, Els Saesen, Hugues Lortat-Jacob, Régis Daniel

▶ To cite this version:

Cédric Przybylski, Florence Gonnet, Els Saesen, Hugues Lortat-Jacob, Régis Daniel. Surface plasmon resonance imaging coupled to on-chip mass spectrometry: a new tool to probe protein-GAG interactions. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 2020, 10.1007/s00216-019-02267-2. hal-02401458

HAL Id: hal-02401458 https://univ-evry.hal.science/hal-02401458

Submitted on 20 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

1	Article Title	Surface plasmo spectrometry: a	Surface plasmon resonance imaging coupled to on-chip mass spectrometry: a new tool to probe protein-GAG interactions			
2	Article Sub- Title					
3	Article Copyright - Year	Springer-Verlag (This will be th	bpringer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019 This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)			
4	Journal Name	Analytical and B	ioanalytical Chemistry			
5		Family Name	Przybylski			
6		Particle				
7		Given Name	Cédric			
8		Suffix				
9		Organization	Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Université Evry			
10		Division	Laboratoire Analyse et Modélisation pour la Biologie et l'Environnement			
11	Corresponding Author	Address	Evry 91025, France			
12		Organization	Sorbonne Université, CNRS			
13		Division	Institut Parisien de Chimie Moléculaire, IPCM			
14		Address	4 Place Jussieu, Paris Cedex 05 75252, France			
15		Organization	Sorbonne Université, CNRS			
16		Division	Institut Parisien de Chimie Moléculaire, IPCM			
17		Address	4 Place Jussieu, Paris Cedex 05 75252, France			
18		e-mail	cedric.przybylski@sorbonne-universite.fr			
19		Family Name	Daniel			
20	Corresponding Author Corresponding Author	Particle				
21		Given Name	Régis			
22	Corresponding	Suffix				
23	Author	Organization	Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Université Evry			
24		Division	Laboratoire Analyse et Modélisation pour la Biologie et l'Environnement			
25		Address	Evry 91025, France			
26		e-mail	regis.daniel@univ-evry.fr			
27		Family Name	Gonnet			
28	Author	Particle				
29		Given Name	Florence			

30		Suffix			
31		Organization	Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Université Evry		
32		Division	Laboratoire Analyse et Modélisation pour la Biologie et l'Environnement		
33		Address	Evry 91025, France		
34		e-mail			
35		Family Name	Saesen		
36		Particle			
37		Given Name	Els		
38	A	Suffix			
39	Author	Organization	Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, CEA		
40		Division	Institut de Biologie Structurale		
41		Address	Grenoble 38000, France		
42	_	e-mail			
43		Family Name	Lortat-Jacob		
44		Particle			
45		Given Name	Hugues		
46	Author	Suffix			
47	Author	Organization	Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, CEA		
48		Division	Institut de Biologie Structurale		
49		Address	Grenoble 38000, France		
50		e-mail			
51		Received	9 September 2019		
52	Schedule	Revised	11 October 2019		
53		Accepted	8 November 2019		
54 Abstract Biosensor device for the d glycosaminoglycan interactive key to identifying specific glycoscience. Mass spectra approaches for carbohydra characterization. In the stu- between the glycosaminog (HS) and various cytokino (SPRi) for thermodynami		Biosensor device f glycosaminoglycar key to identifying glycoscience. Mas approaches for carb characterization. In between the glycos (HS) and various o (SPRi) for thermoo	or the detection and characterization of protein- n interactions is being actively sought and constitutes the specific carbohydrate ligands, an important issue in s spectrometry (MS) hyphenated methods are promising obhydrates enrichment and subsequent structural n the study herein, we report the analysis of interactions saminoglycans (GAGs) heparin (HP) and heparan sulfate cytokines by coupling surface plasmon resonance imaging dynamic analysis method and MALDI-TOF MS for		

structural determination. To do so, we developed an SPR biochip in a microarray format and functionalized it with a self-assembled monolayer of short poly(ethylene oxide) chains for graffing the human cytokines stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1 α), monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), and interferon- γ . The thermodynamic parameters of the interactions between these

cytokines and unfractionated HP/HS and derived oligosaccharides were successively determined using SPRi monitoring, and the identification of the captured carbohydrates was carried out directly on the biochip surface using MALDI-TOF MS, revealing cytokine preferential affinity for GAGs. The MS

		identification was enhanced by on-chip digestion of the cytokine-bound GAGs with heparinase, leading to the detection of oligosaccharides likely involved in the binding sequence of GAG ligands. Although several carbohydrate array-based assays have been reported, this study is the first report of the successful analysis of protein-GAG interactions using SPRi-MS coupling.		
55	Keywords separated by ' - '	SPR-MS - Glycosaminoglycans - Surface plasmon resonance - Mass spectrometry - Cytokines - Heparin		
56	Foot note information	The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007 /s00216-019-02267-2) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users. Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.		

Electronic supplementary material

ESM 1 (PDF 239 kb)

Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-019-02267-2

RESEARCH PAPER

Surface plasmon resonance imaging coupled to on-chip mass spectrometry: a new tool to probe protein-GAG interactions

Cédric Przybylski^{1,2} · Florence Gonnet¹ · Els Saesen³ · Hugues Lortat-Jacob³ · Régis Daniel¹

9 Received: 9 September 2019 / Revised: 11 October 2019 / Accepted: 8 November 2019

10 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

11 Abstract

 $\frac{1}{2}$

4

5

6

7 8

12Biosensor device for the detection and characterization of protein-glycosaminoglycan interactions is being actively sought and constitutes the key to identifying specific carbohydrate ligands, an important issue in glycoscience. Mass spectrometry (MS) hyphenated 13methods are promising approaches for carbohydrates enrichment and subsequent structural characterization. In the study herein, we 1415report the analysis of interactions between the glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) heparin (HP) and heparan sulfate (HS) and various 16cytokines by coupling surface plasmon resonance imaging (SPRi) for thermodynamic analysis method and MALDI-TOF MS for structural determination. To do so, we developed an SPR biochip in a microarray format and functionalized it with a self-assembled 17monolayer of short poly(ethylene oxide) chains for grafting the human cytokines stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1 α), monocyte 18chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), and interferon- γ . The thermodynamic parameters of the interactions between these cytokines and 19 20unfractionated HP/HS and derived oligosaccharides were successively determined using SPRi monitoring, and the identification of the captured carbohydrates was carried out directly on the biochip surface using MALDI-TOF MS, revealing cytokine preferential affinity 2122for GAGs. The MS identification was enhanced by on-chip digestion of the cytokine-bound GAGs with heparinase, leading to the detection of oligosaccharides likely involved in the binding sequence of GAG ligands. Although several carbohydrate array-based 23assays have been reported, this study is the first report of the successful analysis of protein-GAG interactions using SPRi-MS coupling. 24

25 **Keywords** SPR-MS · Glycosaminoglycans · Surface plasmon resonance · Mass spectrometry · Cytokines · Heparin

2627 Introduction

Q1

Glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) are sulfated polysaccharidesfound in the extracellular matrix and at the cell surface where

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-019-02267-2) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Cédric Przybylski cedric.przybylski@sorbonne-universite.fr

- Régis Daniel regis.daniel@univ-evry.fr
- ¹ Laboratoire Analyse et Modélisation pour la Biologie et l'Environnement, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Université Evry, 91025 Evry, France
- ² Present address: Institut Parisien de Chimie Moléculaire, IPCM, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
- ³ Institut de Biologie Structurale, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, CEA, 38000 Grenoble, France

they are anchored to a protein core and constitute the proteo-30 glycans assemblies [1]. They mediate cell-cell and cell-matrix 31interactions involved in a variety of physiological and patho-32 logical functions such as in embryonic development, cell 33 growth and differentiation, homeostasis, inflammatory re-34 sponse, tumor growth, and microbial infection [1-3]. Most 35of these GAG functions are mediated by the binding to protein 36 effectors such as growth factors and cytokines whose biolog-37 ical activities are in turn regulated by modulating their avail-38 ability, stability, structure, and reactivity [3-7]. These protein-39 GAG interactions are driven at an electrostatic level by the 40 overall sulfation of the GAG chains [4, 8], and also by the 41 specific recognition of structural determinants, especially the 42arrangement of the N- and O-sulfo groups in a given oligo-43saccharide sequence, as observed in heparan sulfate (HS) [4, 44 9-12]. These structural elements give rise to the so-called 45sulfate code that remains to be cracked [13-15]. Other fea-46tures, such as epimerization and distribution of sulfated do-47mains along the GAG chains, are also involved [10, 16–22]. 48

Therefore, the study of non-covalent protein-GAG complexes has raised increasing interest with the aim of 50

AUTHOR 25-PROOP!

determining the structure of the carbohydrate ligand and de-51signing GAG-like drugs targeting these complexes for poten-52tial therapeutic applications [23, 24]. However, the large struc-5354tural diversity of GAGs owing to their incomparable variety of combinations and regioselective modifications of their consti-55tutive monosaccharides represents a major stumbling block in 5657the study of structure-activity relationships [25]. Furthermore, the biologically active GAG sequences involved in molecular 58recognition are most often available in low amounts and in 5960 heterogeneous mixtures. Because GAG biosynthesis is not template-driven, no procedure is available for the amplifica-61 62 tion and the over-expression of a specific oligosaccharide sequence [3]. Therefore, deciphering the mechanism of the 63protein-GAG interactions and structural identification of the 64 carbohydrate ligands is both a major scientific goal and a 65tremendous analytical challenge. In response to the aforemen-66 tioned bottlenecks, significant progress has been made during 67 68 the last decade by using mass spectrometry (MS) and MS 69 hyphenated methods, which offer highly sensitive detection and powerful structural resolution [26, 27]. We have previous-70ly reported the coupling between affinity capillary electropho-71resis to mass spectrometry (ACE-MS) as an efficient method 7273for probing protein-GAG interaction [28, 29]. ACE-MS coupling offers the advantage of requiring a minimal amount of 74sample for analysis, a definite benefit owing to the low bio-7576availability of GAG samples. Nevertheless, ACE does not allow multiplexed parallel measurement of interactions, a ma-77jor goal in the current "omics" era. In 2002, glycan array 7879approaches were introduced to develop the high-throughput 80 detection of carbohydrate ligands [30-32]. Unlike glycan arrays, surface plasmon resonance (SPR) can probe biomolecu-81 82 lar interactions at the thermodynamic level and offers the advantages of real-time and label-free measurement of reaction 83 rate constants (kon, koff) from which equilibrium constants 84 (K_A, K_D) can be deduced [33]. Furthermore, following the 85 86 pioneering works of Nelson et al. [34, 35], the recent introduction of SPR in array format provides access to a 87 88 multiplexed analysis that is of great interest for "omics" approaches, but unfortunately does not give structural informa-89 90 tion on the captured ligand(s). In this context, we and others 91have recently reported the hyphenation of SPR imaging 92(SPRi) on a biochip in an array format compatible with MS detection [36-38]. The hyphenation of SPRi with MS relies 93 94on two well-established stand-alone methods for the analysis of biomolecular interactions and biostructural characteriza-95tion, respectively. We have introduced an SPR sensor biochip 96 97 in a microarray format that is easily interfaced with a MALDI 98 mass spectrometer to carry out a direct on-chip structural analysis by MS. The coupling relies on the functionalization of the 99biochip surface by a self-assembled monolayer of short poly(-100 101 ethylene oxide) chains, which-unlike the commonly used 102alkane thiol chains-greatly minimize non-specific binding and improve selective isolation and MS detection on the 103

SPR biochip, even for complex biological matrices such as 104biological fluids [39]. Using this experimental set-up, we pre-105viously carried out SPRi-MS coupling for probing protein-106 protein interactions [36, 37]. Affinity-based enrichment and 107 isolation of specific ligands on the SPR biosensor combined 108 with their structural identification by MS also appears a par-109ticularly welcome and innovative coupling in glycomics field. 110 Therefore, in the study herein, we have investigated the po-111 tential of SPRi-MS coupling for the detection and analysis of 112protein-GAG interactions. To do so, we designed an SPR 113sensor biochip arrayed with multiple cytokines, providing ac-114 cess to the thermodynamics parameters of their interactions 115with HS, heparin (HP) and HP oligosaccharides. This cyto-116kine biochip was conveniently interfaced with a MALDI-TOF 117mass spectrometer so as to achieve a first step towards the 118 structural identification of the captured sulfated GAG ligands. 119

Experimental

120

121

Materials and reagents

O-(2-Carboxyethyl)-O'-(2-mercaptoethyl) heptaethylene gly-122col (PEO), N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), 4-123pyrrolidinopyridine, N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), ammoni-124um acetate, sodium chloride, L-lysine, dimethyl sulfoxide 125(DMSO), ammonium acetate, 2-(4-hydroxy-phenylazo) 126benzoic acid (HABA), and 1,1,3,3, tetramethylguanidine 127(TMG) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin 128Fallavier, France). Heparin (HP, $\approx 16,000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$) and hepa-129ran sulfate (HS, $\approx 13,634$ g mol⁻¹) were purchased from 130 Celsus Laboratories Inc. (Cincinnati, OH, USA). A mixture 131of heparin decasaccharides (HPdp10) was purchased from 132Dextra Laboratories (Reading, UK). Synthetic heparin penta-133saccharide Fondaparinux was a gift from Sanofi (France). 134Aprotinin (average Mw 6517.5375 g mol⁻¹) was purchased 135from Sigma-Aldrich. Lyophilized recombinant human stromal 136cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1 α , residue 1-68, average Mw 1377959.3999 g mol⁻¹, purity \geq 98%) and recombinant human 138monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1, residue 1-76, aver-139age Mw 8680.9987 g mol⁻¹, purity \geq 98%) were obtained 140from PeproTech (Neuilly-sur-Seine, France). Recombinant 141interferon- γ (IFN- γ , residue 1-144, average Mw 142 $16,907.3451 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$) in 10 mM Tris buffer pH 6.8 containing 14310 mg mL⁻¹ mannitol was produced as described elsewhere 144[40]. Other chemicals and reagents were obtained from com-145mercial sources at the highest purity available. All buffers 146were prepared using ultrapure water (Milli-Q, Millipore, 147Milford, MA, USA). All heparin lyases (heparinases I, II, 148 and III, 200, 16.39, and 76.92 mU μ L⁻¹, respectively, and 149conditioned in 0.2% bovine serum albumin) were purchased 150form Grampian Enzymes (Aberdeen, Scotland, UK). 151

198

213

JrnIID 216 ArtID 2267 Proof# 1 - 28/11/2019

152 Working protein solutions

Commercial solutions of heparinases I, II, and III were diluted 153at 5 mU μ L⁻¹, 4.09 mU μ L⁻¹, and 3.125 mU μ L⁻¹, respec-154tively, in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, and stored at - 80 °C until 155use. Just prior to digestion, heparinase I was diluted to 156100 mU mL⁻¹), and heparinases II and III to 50 mU mL⁻¹ in 1572 mM PBS, 0.6 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.3. Aprotinin, SDF-1a, 158MCP-1, and IFN- γ were diluted to 75 μ M in 75 mM ammo-159nium acetate, pH 6.5, 3 µL (aprotinin, SDF-1a, MCP-1) or 1605 μ L (IFN- γ) aliquots were stored at – 80 °C until use. 161

162 SPRi instrument

SPR imaging (SPRi) experiments were performed using 163 164the SPRi-Plex imager (instrument control and reporting by SPRi-View and SPRi-Analysis software suite, Horiba 165166 Scientific, Palaiseau, France) equipped with a 660-nm light-emitting diode (LED), a hexagonal flow cell 167thermostated at 25 °C, an online degasser, and a charge-168 coupled-device (CCD) camera. SPRi measurements were 169170 performed using gold-covered glass slides (28 mm× 12 mm, 0.5-mm thickness, chromium bonding layer 1-1711722 nm, gold layer 50 nm) purchased from SCHOTT-AG 173(Mainz, Germany) assembled onto a glass prism (thickness 8 mm) from Horiba Scientific (Palaiseau, France). The op-174tical continuity at their interface was ensured by an oil 175176layer of suitable refractive index, as described elsewhere 177[36].

178 SPRi and SPRi-MS coupling experiments

SPRi experiments were performed in the running buffer 17910 mmol L⁻¹ ammonium acetate, pH 7.5, at 50 μ L min⁻¹ flow 180 rate. A typical SPR experiment comprised an injection step 181(4 min) and a dissociation step (4 min) run sequentially for a 182total run-time of 8 min. A regeneration step was carried out by 183 injection of 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl for 8 min between each SPRi 184experiment. This procedure enabled repeated (at least 20 185times) SPR experiments on the same biochip with signal loss 186of < 3%. For SPRi-MS coupling experiments, the biochip was 187188 removed from the glass prism after SPRi measurements to enable direct on-chip MS analysis (see below). The polysac-189charides HP, HS, and oligosaccharides HPdp10 and 190Fondaparinux were injected using a 200-µL sample loop in-191192jection. They were diluted in the running buffer from 1 to 1000 or 1176 μ g mL⁻¹ for HP and HS, respectively and from 193 1 fg mL⁻¹ to 1 mg mL⁻¹ and 1 pg mL⁻¹ to 1 mg mL⁻¹ for 194195HPdp10 and Fondaparinux, respectively. Affinity constants and kinetics rate were determined by using ScrubberGen soft-196197 ware (V1.0, Horiba Scientific, Palaiseau, France).

Surface functionalization of biochips

The biochip surface was cleaned using a UV-ozone treatment 199 (UVO-Cleaner, Jelight, CA, USA) before functionalization. A 200self-assembled monolaver (SAM) formed of a short poly(eth-201 ylene oxide) chains was grafted on the gold surface of the 202 biochips by immersion in ethanol solution of 2.5 mmol L^{-1} 203 O-(2-carboxyethyl)-O'-(2-mercaptoethyl) heptaethylene gly-204col for 6 h. The grafted biochips were then washed with eth-205anol and could be either stored at 4 °C or activated for the 206immobilization of protein probes. The SAM was activated 207through 1 h incubation with 0.2 mol L^{-1} DCC and 208 $0.2 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$ NHS in DMSO containing $0.02 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$ 4-209 pyrrolidinopyridine. After washing with DMSO and ultrapure 210water, the activated biochips were air-dried and stored at 4 °C, 211ready for the covalent attachment of chemokines. 212

Cytokine attachment on biochips

Before immobilization on the biochip, the 75 µM chemokine 214solution in 75 mM ammonium acetate, pH 6.5 was evaporated 215and re-suspended in the same volume of 10 mM ammonium 216acetate pH 7.5, 1% (v/v) glycerol. The activated biochip was 217then arrayed with this 75 µM chemokine solution by dropping 2180.1–0.15 μ L per spot. The spot-array pattern (4 × 4) was 219500-µm diameter spots with a 3.5-mm inter-spot distance. 220 Inactivation of the remaining free ester active groups on the 221biochip surface was performed by injecting 100 µM lysine in 22210 mM ammonium acetate, pH 7.5, for 2×10 min 223(50 μ L min⁻¹ flow). Each injection of lysine was followed 224 by an injection of 100 µM glycine, pH 2 at a 50 µL min⁻¹ 225flow rate for 10 min. Reflectivity variation (RV) was mea-226sured on each spot and converted into bound ligand quantities 227per surface unit (0.02% of RV = 5 pg/mm^2 [41]. Nevertheless, 228obtained values were for protein/DNA according to equation 229S1 (Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM)), whereas the 230heparin-based saccharides studied here required a lower re-231fractive index (0.13 instead of 0.19). The values of bound 232amounts were corrected accordingly (% of $RV \times 1.46$) [42]. 233The density values were averaged over all spots of the same 234species, after subtraction of a negative control. Sensorgrams 235were acquired on each cytokine spot by subtraction of the SPR 236signal recorded on the lysine passivated biochip surface. A 237constant spot diameter of 300 µm was used for captured 238amount calculation. 239

On-chip digestion

After SPRi detection and GAG capture, the SPRi biochip was241removed from the SPRi-Plex imager and the gold surface was242air-dried. Then, on-chip depolymerization of affinity-captured243GAG polysaccharides was performed by dropping heparinase244solutions on each spot. HP depolymerization was carried out245

240

AUTHOR 25-PRC9079

with 0.5 μ L of heparinase I working solution (50 μ U spotted). 246HS depolymerization was carried out with 0.2 µL of hepari-247nase I working solution (20 µU spotted) and 0.4 µL of hepa-248rinase II and III working solutions (each 20 µU spotted). 249250Then, the on-chip depolymerization reaction was conducted at 25 °C overnight by arranging the biochip in a Petri dish with 251252a plastic cup to maintain a moist environment, and placed in a forced air oven. 253

254 **On-chip mass spectrometry analysis**

255MALDI-time-of-flight (TOF) MS experiments were performed using a PerSeptive Biosystems Voyager-DE STR 256mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems/MDS SCIEX, 257Foster City, CA, USA) equipped with a nitrogen laser 258259(337 nm wavelength and 20 Hz repetition rate, laser fluence 260 set just above the desorption/ionization threshold). The 261 HABA/TMG₂ ionic liquid, used as the matrix, was prepared 262as described elsewhere [43-45]. Briefly, HABA was mixed with TMG at a 1:2 molar ratio in methanol, and the obtained 263solution was sonicated for 15 min at 40 °C. After removing 264 methanol by centrifugal evaporation in a SpeedVac for 3 h at 265266 room temperature, the ionic liquid matrix was left under vacuum overnight. Final solutions were then prepared at a con-267centration of 90 mg mL $^{-1}$ in methanol, and used as a matrix 268269 without further purification. Once prepared, these ionic liquid matrix solutions (ILMs) can be stored at 4 °C for up to 1 week. 270Then, 0.4 µL of the ILM was spotted on the biochip and left to 271272dry at room temperature and atmospheric pressure for 5 min. 273MALDI-TOF MS analysis was performed in the linear and 274reflector negative ion modes. In linear mode, the acceleration 275voltage was + 25 kV, grid voltage was 95%, and extraction delay was 300 ns. In reflector mode, the acceleration voltage 276277was + 20 kV, grid voltage was 70%, and extraction delay was 278150-300 ns. Each mass spectrum was an average of 200-900 279laser shots.

280 **Results and discussion**

MS detection of heparin and heparan sulfate on cytokine surface plasmon resonance biochips

283We previously implemented functionalized SPR biochips to 284hyphenate SPRi analysis with mass spectrometry [36, 37]. This innovative SPRi-MS coupling can be combined with 285286on-chip enzyme digestion of the captured biomolecule to attain fine structural characterization using MS. Unfractionated 287HP and HS solutions ranging from sub-nanomolar to micro-288molar concentrations were flowed on the SPR biochip de-289290 signed for MS coupling and arrayed with the cytokines IFN- γ , SDF-1 α . Because these cytokines are basic proteins 291(pI>9) [28, 46], aprotinin (pI 10.5) was also grafted on the 292

biochip as a control basic protein. Typical sensorgrams were 293obtained, showing strong interactions of IFN- γ and SDF-1 α 294with both sulfated polysaccharides (Fig. 1), while the negative 295control aprotinin showed a weak interaction ($K_D = 873 \pm$ 296 30μ M), consistent with the HP-binding properties of these 297cytokines. K_D values ($K_D = k_{off}/k_{on}$) were determined in the 298 nanomolar range for both cytokines (Table 1), corroborating 299data in the literature for IFN- γ (1.4–5 nM) [8, 47] and for 300 SDF-1 α (1–30 nM) [48–50]. The affinity of IFN- γ and 301 SDF-1 α was slightly higher for HS than for HP (Table 1), 302 despite the higher sulfate content of HP, indicating that inter-303 action is not solely charge driven. The interaction likely in-304 volves specific sequences within HS, keeping in mind that HS 305is a physiological ligand of cytokines at the cell surface and in 306 the extracellular matrix. In order to determine the GAG mol-307 ecules captured on the biochip surface, the arrayed surface of 308 the cytokine biochip was probed using MALDI-TOF MS after 309 the SPR experiment. 310

However, due to their high and disperse molecular weights 311and negative charge density, the whole HP and HS molecules 312 $(13.6-16 \text{ kg mol}^{-1})$ cannot be analyzed as such by MS. To 313 overcome such limitations, we performed on-chip depolymer-314ization of captured GAGs using heparinase I or a mixture of 315 heparinase I, II, and III (see "Methods"). Afterwards, the chip 316 was directly analyzed using MALDI-TOF MS to carry out on-317chip detection of the oligosaccharides produced by enzyme 318 digestion. The capture of GAG molecules by the grafted cy-319tokines IFN- γ and SDF-1 α increased with the increasing con-320 centrations of HP and HS loaded on the chip during the SPR 321 experiment, reaching a maximal surface density of several 322 fmol/mm^2 for both HP and HS (Fig. 2). 323

Considering that 1 mol of HP polysaccharide can theoretically 324 vield on average 25 mol of trisulfated HPdp2 based on the mo-325lecular weight of the full-size heparin, it is expected that the 326 amount of captured HS is enough to produce several dozen of 327 fmol/mm² of sulfated disaccharides. MALDI-TOF-MS analysis 328revealed the presence of heparin hexa-, tetra-, and disaccharides 329captured on IFN- γ spots. No oligosaccharide was detected on the 330 surface biochip without grafted cytokines (background area, 331 ESM Fig. S1). The HP disaccharide was detected in its fully 332trisulfated form (sodiated ions [M-Na]⁻ at m/z 641.91 and 333 $[M-2Na + H]^-$ at m/z 619.91) (Fig. 3a, b). The disulfated disac-334charide was also detected as [M-Na]⁻ at m/z 539.96 and at trace 335amounts as $[M-2Na + H]^{-}$ at m/z 517.98. The fully sulfated HP 336 tetrasaccharide was identified at m/z 1306.64 [M-Na]⁻, as well as 337 the penta- and tetrasulfated forms at m/z 1204.72 and 1102.81, 338 respectively. Hexasaccharides were the highest detected dp under 339the fully sulfated form (9 sulfate groups) as [M-Na]⁻ at m/z3401971.55, as well as with 8 and 7 sulfate groups at m/z 1869.63 341 and 1767.71, respectively. Regarding the aprotinin spot, a unique 342peak of trisulfated HPdp2 was present (Fig. 3c). Some minor ions 343 showing Na⁺/K⁺ exchanges were also detected. The control ex-344periment in which digested heparin was manually spotted on a 345

Surface plasmon resonance imaging coupled to on-chip mass spectrometry: a new tool to probe protein-GAG...

Fig. 1 Surface-subtracted sensorgrams for the interaction between cytokines and **a** heparin injected at 1 mg mL⁻¹ (\approx 73.5 μ M) or **c** heparan sulfate injected at 1 mg mL⁻¹ (\approx 73.4 μ M). Each sensorgram is an average of SPR measurements taken on four spots. Corresponding real-time array imaging of the interaction between grafted chemokines and injected **b** heparin and **d** heparan sulfate at 7 min in the aforementioned conditions



SPRi biochip without grafted cytokines, yielded mainly 346 trisulfated disaccharides (ESM Fig. S2). This result suggests that 347 the tetra- and hexasaccharides detected on the cytokine plots are 348protected from heparinase through tight protein-GAG interac-349tions. These oligosaccharides may thus be part of the heparin 350 sequences that are specifically involved in the cytokine binding 351352(Fig. 3). In contrast, we were unable to detect highly sulfated diand tetra-oligosaccharides from HS molecules captured on 353 354IFN- γ and SDF-1 α spots. Although somewhat lower than the captured HP level, the amount of captured HS was still sufficient 355to allow MS detection of derived oligosaccharides. 356

Heparinase I exhibits a strong specificity for the -GlcNS6SIdoA2S- linkage [51]. This saccharide sequence being less
encountered in HS, the on-chip depolymerization of HS

molecules catalyzed by heparinase I likely produced a lower360proportion of highly sulfated di- and tetra-saccharides, and a361higher proportion of longer oligosaccharides that are more362difficult to detect by MS.363

Direct on-chip MS detection of heparin364oligosaccharide ligands365

The SPR-MS experiment was further pursued by loading HP366decasaccharides (HPdp10) on a cytokine biochip. Given that367this commercial HPdp10 preparation was specified to contain368a high level of the disaccharide unit IdoUA,2S-GlcNS,6S, it369may be a valuable mimic of the sulfated NS domains of HS370(the so-called NS domains), which are well known to be371

t1.1 **Table 1** Kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of the interactions between cytokines and heparin (HP)/heparan sulfate (HS) glycosaminoglycans (GAGs)

t1.2	GAGs	Cytokine	Surface density (fmol mm ⁻²)	$k_{on} (M^{-1} s^{-1})$	$k_{off}(s^{-1})$	$K_{\rm D} = k_{\rm off} / k_{\rm on} (M)$	$\Delta G^* (kJ mol^{-1})$
t1.3 t1.4 t1.5 t1.6	HP HS	SDF-1α IFN-γ SDF-1α IFN-γ	5 ± 1 14 ± 1 5 ± 1 12 ± 3	$6.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{5}$ $6.7 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{5}$ $3.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{5}$ $4.8 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{5}$	$5.9 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-4}$ 7.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-4} 13.2 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-4} 29.3 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-4}	$9.0 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-9}$ $11.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-9}$ $4.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-9}$ $6.1 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-9}$	-45.90 ± 0.06 -45.38 ± 0.04 -47.91 ± 0.05 -46.87 ± 0.03

 $\Delta G = RT \ln K_D$ where R is the gas constant = 8.3144621 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ and T is 298 K (25 °C). Binding kinetics fitted using a 1:1 Langmuir model. Values were the average of 4 determinations

Fig. 2 Surface molar density of GAG polysaccharides captured by immobilized proteins IFN- γ (red), SDF-1 α (blue), and aprotinin (violet) according to the injected concentrations of **a** heparin (HP) and **b** heparan sulfate (HS). Error bars correspond to four different spots on the same biochip





involved in the interactions of HS with various cytokines [21]. 372 Accordingly, sensorgrams depicted a significant capture of 373 374GAGs molecules, which increased with rising concentrations of injected HPdp10, from 33.3 nM to 333.3 µM (Fig. 4c). The 375 three cytokines showed similar off-rates, close to the koff 376 values obtained for HP/HS (21.2–30.6 \times 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹ versus 5.9– 377 $7.4 \times 10^{-4}/13.2 - 29.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$), while their binding rates 378 were slightly lower than that to HP/HS polysaccharides 379 (Table 2). As a result, the increased K_D values indicated a 380 somewhat lowered affinity for HPdp10 in comparison with 381HP/HS polysaccharides, but these values still remained in 382383 the sub-micromolar range (Table 2). Although the koff, kon, and K_D values obtained for HPdp10 account for various 384385 dp10 chains in the decasaccharide preparation, they provide 386 a meaningful averaged portray of the mixture.

An additional injection following the highest HPdp10 con-387centration (333.3 µM) did not induce a further increase of re-388 389 flectivity, indicating that all interaction sites were occupied and maximal interaction had been reached. It corresponded to a 390 maximal surface density of several fmol/mm² of captured 391 392 HPdp10 (Fig. 4c). The arrayed biochip surface was probed using MALDI-TOF MS to detect captured HPdp10. To allow 393 detection of these high-polymerization-degree oligosaccharides 394395 at the highest sensitivity, MS analysis was carried out in linear 396 mode.

Under these conditions, direct deposits of HPdp10 on thechip indicated that around 25 fmoles were required to yield a

spectrum exhibiting ions ascribed to HPdp10 oligosaccharides 399 (Fig. 5a). 400

It may explain that we were unable to detect any oli-401 gosaccharides on the MCP-1 and SDF-1 α spots, given the 402 lower amount of captured oligosaccharides. On the other 403hand, a wide range of ions ascribed to the HPdp10 oligo-404 saccharides mixture was detected on IFN- γ plots in agree-405ment with about 25 fmol of captured oligosaccharides 406 (Fig. 5b). When HPdp10 was directly deposited on the 407 biochip, ions were detected along a Gaussian distribution 408 from m/z 1765.4 to m/z 2173.7 and centered on m/z4091867.5 (Fig. 5a). This ion distribution matches that of a 410 decasaccharide population carrying from 1 to 5 sulfate 411 groups and centered around m/z 1800–1900 correspond-412 ing to disulfated species. By comparison, the spectrum 413obtained from IFN- γ spots showed the selective enrich-414ment of more sulfated oligosaccharides centered around 415m/z 2100–2200. The absence of a single captured 416 decasaccharide species shows that interaction between 417IFN- γ and HPdp10 does not occur with only one given 418structure. IFN- γ , and possibly MCP-1 and SDF-1 α , can 419thus bind several HPdp10 exhibiting various sulfation pat-420 terns. Even if the precise structural determinants involved 421in specific interactions could not be determined, our re-422 sults indicate a preferential affinity for the more sulfated 423 heparin chains. Nonetheless, the present results confirm 424 the validity of the SPRi-MS coupling for on-chip analysis 425

Surface plasmon resonance imaging coupled to on-chip mass spectrometry: a new tool to probe protein-GAG...

Fig. 3 Negative reflector MALDI-TOF spectra of on-chip depolymerized heparin captured by a IFN- γ (14 fmol/mm²), b SDF-1 α (5 fmol/mm²), and c aprotinin (1 fmol/mm²). All species are detected as [M-Na]⁻ and size of the dp is indicated in blue, number of sulfates in red, and signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) on the HPdp2 with three sulfates is noted in the top right corner of each spectrum



426 of GAG ligands after their capture by immobilized cyto427 kines, and indicate that captured sulfated oligosaccharides
428 of polymerization degrees higher than dp2 can be directly
429 detected.

430 Interaction of the synthetic pentasaccharide431 Fondaparinux on the cytokine SPR biochips

To further exemplify the SPRi-MS coupling on cytokine biochip, an oligosaccharide with a well-defined sequence was used. For that purpose, we studied the interaction of the syn-434thetic pentasaccharide Fondaparinux with the immobilized 435cytokines. This compound is the sole marketed synthetic 436GAG mimetic (Arixtra®) used as an antithrombotic agent 437 targeting antithrombin and thereby inhibiting proteases such 438as the activated factor X (FXa). This pentasaccharide has eight 439sulfate groups, including a rare 3-O sulfo group on the central 440glucosamine residue. Fondaparinux was designed to bind an-441 tithrombin with high affinity [52]. It can also bind MCP-1 [53, 442 54], but no data are available for SDF-1 α or IFN- γ . SPRi 443 Fig. 4 a Surface-subtracted sensorgrams for the interaction between chemokines and a heparin decasaccharides mixture (HPdp10) injected at 1 mg mL⁻ (\approx 333.3 µM). Each sensorgram is the average of SPR measurements taken on four spots. b Corresponding real-time array imaging of the interaction between grafted chemokines and injected HPdp10 at 7 min in the aforementioned conditions. c Surface molar density progression of captured HPdp10. Error bars correspond to four different spots on the same biochip



444 analysis confirmed the high affinity of MCP-1 for the synthet-445 ic pentasaccharide and also revealed a strong interaction with 446 IFN- γ and SDF-1 α (Fig. 6).

447 The obtained sensorgrams yielded nanomolar K_D (Table 2) in the range of previously reported values for other high-448affinity HP-binding proteins [4, 8, 47-49, 55-64]. The K_D 449value for SDF-1 α was much lower than those determined 450for HPdp10, and almost in the same range as the K_D values 451for HP/HS polysaccharides, underlining that a specific ar-452rangement in a well-defined sequence, even in a short chain, 453can govern and induce the formation of a tight complex with 454SDF-1 α . These productive sequences are likely sparse in 455HPdp10, which may explain the decreased affinity for this 456oligosaccharides mixture. In contrast, IFN- γ showed a quite 457

similar affinity for both HPdp10 and the synthetic pentasac-458charide Fondaparinux, although somewhat higher for the pen-459tasaccharide. This result suggests that IFN- γ can form an af-460finity complex either with a short sequence comprising unique 461 structural determinants, or with heterogeneous, but longer ol-462 igosaccharide sequences. Several fmol mm⁻² of synthetic pen-463 tasaccharide were captured upon injection of increasing con-464centrations of Fondaparinux, leveling out at values ranging 465from 13 for SDF-1 α to 28 fmol mm⁻² for IFN- γ (Table 2). 466 When 20 fmoles of pentasaccharide were directly spotted in 467 the running buffer on the biochip, the pentasaccharide could 468 be detected as an intact, fully sulfated species [M-Na]⁻ at m/z4691703.8, in addition to ions corresponding to a pentasaccharide 470species with sulfate loss (-102 mass units) (Fig. 7a). The 471

			· 1	2	1	e	
t2.2	GAGs	Cytokine	Surface density (fmol/mm ²)	$k_{on} (M^{-1} s^{-1})$	$k_{off}(s^{-1})$	$K_{\rm D} = k_{\rm off} / k_{\rm on} (M)$	$\Delta G^* (kJ mol^{-1})$
t2.3	HPdp10	SDF-1α	17 ± 1	$2.3\pm0.4\times10^4$	$30.6 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-4}$	$133\pm5\times10^{-9}$	-39.23 ± 0.04
t2.4		MCP-1	11 ± 3	$2.2\pm0.2\times10^4$	$21.2\pm 0.3 \times 10^{-4}$	$96\pm4\times10^{-9}$	-40.04 ± 0.04
t2.5		IFN-γ	25 ± 1	$1.9\pm0.4\times10^5$	$26.7 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-4}$	$14\pm1\times10^{-9}$	-44.81 ± 0.07
t2.6	Fondaparinux	SDF-1a	13 ± 1	$5.2\pm0.3\times10^5$	$15.6 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-9}$	-48.62 ± 0.07
t2.7		MCP-1	17 ± 5	$13.3\pm0.1\times10^5$	$31.9 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.4 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-9}$	-49.20 ± 0.04
t2.8		IFN-γ	28 ± 5	$7.3\pm0.5\times10^6$	$87.6 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.2\pm 0.1 \times 10^{-9}$	-50.89 ± 0.08

t2.1 Table 2 Kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of the interactions between cytokines and heparin-derived sulfated oligosaccharides

* $\Delta G = RT \ln K_D$ where R is the gas constant = 8.3144621 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ and T is 298 K (25 °C). Binding kinetics fitted using a 1:1 Langmuir model. Values were the average of 4 determinations

Surface plasmon resonance imaging coupled to on-chip mass spectrometry: a new tool to probe protein-GAG...

а

[M-2Na+H]⁻ (2S, 7Na) 1867.5

[M-2Na+H]- (3S, 8Na)

Fig. 5 Negative linear MALDI-TOF spectrum of HPdp10 mixture a directly deposited at 25 fmol on the chip and **b** after capture of 25 fmol mm⁻² by IFNγ

1969.6 [M-2Na+H]⁻ (1S, 6Na) [M-2Na+H]⁻ (4S, 9Na) 2071.6 1763.4 100 [M-2Na+H]⁻ (5S, 10Na) 2173.7 % Intensity 50 0 1860 2220 2580 2940 3300 Masse (m/z) b [M-2Na+H]⁻ (5S, 10Na) 2173.7 [M-2Na+H]⁻ (6S, 11Na) 2275.6 [M-2Na+H]⁻ (7S, 12Na) [M-2Na+H]- (4S, 9Na) 100 2071.6 .0 [M-2Na+H]⁻ (8S, 13Na) 2479.8 [M-2Na+H] (9S, 14Na) % Intensity 2582.0 White when and the second 50 0 1500 1860 2220 2580 2940 3300 Masse (m/z) Fig. 6 a Surface-subtracted b а sensorgrams for the interaction 0.35 0.30 0.25 **Reflectivity (%)** 0.20 0.15 0.10 0.05 0.00 -0.05 0 2 3 4 5 7 Time (min) С 35 Surface molar density (fmol/mm²) 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 57.9 nM 579 nM 5.79 μM 57.9 μM 579 μM 579 fM 5.79 pM 57.9 pM 579 pM 5.9 nM **Injected Fondaparinux** SDF-1α **Aprotinin** IFN-y MCP-1

between chemokines and Fondaparinux injected at 1 mg mL⁻¹ ($\approx 579 \mu$ M). Each sensorgram results from the averaged SPR measurements on four spots. b Corresponding realtime array imaging of the interaction between grafted cytokines and injected Fondaparinux at 7 min in the aforementioned conditions. c Surface molar density progression of captured Fondaparinux. Error bars correspond to four different spots on the same biochip



Fig. 7 Negative reflector MALDI-TOF spectra of Fondaparinux **a** directly deposited at 20 fmoles on the chip and after captures by **b** MCP-1, **c** SDF-1 α , and **d** IFN- γ after injection of 579 μ M Fondaparinux. Asterisks correspond to Na⁺/K⁺ exchanges

signal-to-noise ratio of mass spectra gradually declined from 472the IFN- γ to the MCP-1 and SDF-1 α spots, in parallel to a 473concomitant decrease in the surface density (28, 17, and 13 474fmol/mm², respectively). Intact or partially desulfated penta-475saccharide species were not detected on the SDF-1 α spots 476 477 (Fig. 7c), likely due to the lower captured amount (13 fmol mm⁻²). On the other hand, the intact pentasaccharide [M-Na]⁻ 478 was observed on the IFN- γ spots (Fig. 7d), while only 479

partially sulfated species with 1 to 6 sulfate losses were de-480tected on the MCP-1 spots (Fig. 7b). The non-detection of 481 intact pentasaccharide on MCP-1 spots can be due to an in-482sufficient amount captured and less efficient energy dissipa-483tion during laser shots while soft ionic liquid matrix was used. 484The on-chip MS detection was easier for Fondaparinux than 485for HPdp10, although both were captured at similar surface 486 densities. This difference highlights that, in addition to the low 487

Surface plasmon resonance imaging coupled to on-chip mass spectrometry: a new tool to probe protein-GAG...

amount and the size of oligosaccharides, the heterogeneity ofthe oligosaccharide mixture can be also an important limiting

490 factor for the MALDI-TOF analysis.

491 **Conclusion**

The hyphenation of SPR with MS relies on two well-492 493 established stand-alone methods that enable the analysis of biomolecular interactions and biostructural characterization. 494495 respectively. We have previously developed the functionalization of SPRi biochips with a self-assembled 496 monolayer of short poly(ethyleneoxide) chains carrying a ter-497 minal NHS group that is well-suited for SPRi-MS coupling, 498and useful for efficient on-chip MALDI MS detection. SPRi-499 MS coupling having been initially applied to the study of 500501 protein-protein interactions, this study significantly extends 502proof of concept to the analysis of protein-carbohydrate interactions. This study reports for the first time the implementa-503tion of SPRi-MS coupling analysis of interactions between 504GAGs and relevant cytokines, showing a new road for prob-505506 ing biomolecular interactions involving GAGs. This approach made it possible to detect and quantify the formation of com-507plexes between HP and HS oligo/polysaccharides and 508509immobilized chemokines, and shows its potential to achieve the direct on-chip MS detection of GAG ligands through their 510selective capture. As in proteomics, we demonstrated the fea-511512sibility of performing an efficient on-chip enzymatic digestion of captured polysaccharides for easier and more detailed MS 513identification. In this study, SPRi-MS analysis was conducted 514515on manually deposited 12 or 16 spots per biochip, and work is in progress to use similar chips with an automatic arrayer 516allowing a more reproducible and higher density spotting. 517 Still, the limitations of the SPRi-MS coupling identified in this 518519study require further efforts. The amounts of captured GAG 520 molecules are enough for SPRi detection but are too low in 521some case for an easy MS detection. Modifications of the 522surface self-assembled monolayer and a controlled orientation of the immobilized protein are currently under investigation to 523524get a higher density of grafted chemokine. The partial loss of sulfate that sometime occurs upon laser irradiation may pre-525vent the determination of the optimal sulfation level preferred 526527 by each chemokine. Probing the biochip surface with a softer ionization method like DESI coupled to LTQ-Orbitrap will be 528an attractive alternative [65, 66]. The GAGomics field still 529requires new analytical tools for further study of protein-530GAG interactions and for the discovery of potential com-531pounds targeting these complexes. All the analytical features 532of the SPRi-MS coupling reported here, including multiplexed 533534detection of interaction partners, specific capture of GAG li-535gands, and on-chip MS characterization thus appear very promising for GAGomics and more largely in glycobiology. 536

Compliance with ethical standards

537

540

563

564

587

588

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there are no conflicts of 538 interest. 539

References

- 1. Bishop JR, Schuksz M, Esko JD. Heparan sulphate proteoglycans 541 fine-tune mammalian physiology. Nature. 2007;446:1030–7. 542
- Linhardt RJ, Toida T. Role of glycosaminoglycans in cellular communication. Acc Chem Res. 2004;37:431–8.
- Sasisekharan R, Raman R, Prabhakar V. Glycomics approach to structure-function relationships of glycosaminoglycans. Annu Rev Biomed Eng. 2006;8:181–31.
- Capila I, Linhardt RJ. Heparin–protein interactions. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2002;41:390–12. 549
- Spillmann D, Lindahl U. Glycosaminoglycan-protein interactions: 550 a question of specificity. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 1994;4:677–82. 551
- Ricard-Blum S. Protein–glycosaminoglycan interaction networks: 552 focus on heparan sulfate. Perspect Sci. 2017;11:62–9. 553
- Rogers CJ, Clark PM, Tully SE, Abrol R, Garcia KC, Goddard WA 3rd, et al. Elucidating glycosaminoglycan-protein-protein interactions using carbohydrate microarray and computational approaches. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108:9747–52.
- 8. Saesen E, Sarrazin S, Laguri C, Sadir R, Maurin D, Thomas A, et al. Insights into the mechanism by which interferon-γ basic amino acid clusters mediate protein binding to heparan sulfate. J Am Chem Soc. 2013;135:9384–90.
 9. Soares da Costa D, Reis RL, Pashkuleva I. Sulfation of glycosami-562
- Soares da Costa D, Reis RL, Pashkuleva I. Sulfation of glycosaminoglycans and its implications in human health and disorders. Annu Rev Biomed Eng. 2017;19:1–26.
- Gandhi NS, Mancera RL. The structure of glycosaminoglycans and their interactions with proteins. Chem Biol Drug Des. 2008;72: 566 455–82. 567
- Kuschert GSV, Coulin F, Power CA, Proudfoot AEI, Hubbard RE, Hoogewerf AJ, et al. Glycosaminoglycans interact selectively with chemokines and modulate receptor binding and cellular responses. Biochemistry. 1999;38:12959–68.
- Rusnati M, Coltrini D, Oreste P, Zoppetti G, Albini A, Noonan D, et al. Interaction of HIV-1 tat protein with heparin: role of the backbone structure, sulfation, and size. J Biol Chem. 1997;272:11313– 20.
- de Paz JL, Seeberger PH. Deciphering the glycosaminoglycan code 576 with the help of microarrays. Mol BioSyst. 2008;4:707–11. 577
- Gama CI, Hsieh-Wilson LC. Chemical approaches to deciphering the glycosaminoglycan code. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2005;9:609– 19.
 579 580
- Gama CI, Tully SE, Sotogaku N, Clark PM, Rawat M, Vaidehi N, et al. Sulfation patterns of glycosaminoglycans encode molecular recognition and activity. Nat Chem Biol. 2006;2:467–73.
- Faham S, Hileman RE, Fromm JR, Linhardt RJ, Rees DC. Heparin structure and interactions with basic fibroblast growth factor. 585 Science. 1996;271:1116–20. 586
- 17. Gallagher JT. Heparan sulfate: growth control with a restricted sequence menu. J Clin Invest. 2001;108:357–61.
- Khan S, Gor J, Mulloy B, Perkins SJ. Semi-rigid solution structures of heparin by constrained X-ray scattering modelling: new insight into heparin-protein complexes. J Mol Biol. 2010;395:504–21. 591
- Khan S, Rodriguez E, Patel R, Gor J, Mulloy B, Perkins SJ. The solution structure of heparan sulphate differs from that of heparin: 593 implications for function. J Biol Chem. 2013;288:27737–51. 594
- Li W, Johnson DJD, Esmon CT, Huntington JA. Structure of the antithrombin-thrombin-heparin ternary complex reveals the 596

673

597antithrombotic mechanism of heparin. Nat Struct Mol Biol.5982004;11:857-62.

- Lubineau A, Lortat-Jacob H, Gavard O, Sarrazin S, Bonnaffe D.
 Synthesis of tailor-made glycoconjugate mimetics of heparan sulfate that bind IFN-gamma in the nanomolar range. Chem.-Eur. J.
 2004;10:4265–82.
- Venkataraman G, Raman R, Sasisekharan V, Sasisekharan R.
 Molecular characteristics of fibroblast growth factor-fibroblast growth factor receptor-heparin-like glycosaminoglycan complex.
 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999;96:3658–63.
- 607 23. Karamanos NK, Tzanakakis GN. Glycosaminoglycans: from "cellular glue" to novel therapeutical agents. Curr Opin Pharmacol. 2012;12:220–2.
- 610 24. Volpi N. Therapeutic applications of glycosaminoglycans. Curr
 611 Med Chem. 2006;13:1799–810.
- 612 25. Esko JD, Selleck SB. Order out of chaos: assembly of ligand bind-613 ing sites in heparan sulfate. Annu Rev Biochem. 2002;71:435–71.
- 614 26. Zaia J. On-line separations combined with MS for analysis of gly 615 cosaminoglycans. Mass Spectrom Rev. 2009;28:254–72.
- 616 27. Zaia J. Glycosaminoglycan glycomics using mass spectrometry.
 617 Mol Cell Proteomics. 2013;12:885–92.
- 618
 618
 619
 619
 619
 619
 620
 620
 621
 621
 621
 622
 622
 622
 623
 624
 624
 626
 627
 628
 629
 629
 629
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 621
 621
 621
 621
 622
 622
 623
 624
 64
- 623
 624
 625
 626
 626
 627
 628
 629
 629
 620
 620
 620
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 625
 626
 626
 627
 628
 629
 629
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 621
 621
 622
 622
 623
 624
 625
 625
 626
 626
 627
 628
 628
 629
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
 620
- 627 30. Fukui S, Feizi T, Fau-Galustian C, Galustian C, Fau-Lawson AM,
 628 Lawson AM, et al. Oligosaccharide microarrays for high 629 throughput detection and specificity assignments of carbohydrate 630 protein interactions. Nat Biotechnol. 2002;20:1011–7.
- 631 31. Gray CJ, Sánchez-Ruíz A, Šardzíková I, Ahmed YA, Miller RL,
 632 Reyes Martinez JE, et al. Label-free discovery array platform for the
 633 characterization of glycan binding proteins and glycoproteins. Anal
 634 Chem. 2017;89:4444–51.
- 835
 832. Wang D, Liu S, Fau-Trummer BJ, Trummer BJ, Fau-Deng C, Deng
 836
 837 C, et al. Carbohydrate microarrays for the recognition of cross838 reactive molecular markers of microbes and host cells. Nat
 838 Biotechnol. 2002;20:275–81.
- 639 33. Homola J. Surface plasmon resonance sensors for detection of640 chemical and biological species. Chem Rev. 2008;108:462–93.
- 641 34. Nelson RW, Krone JR, Jansson O. Surface plasmon resonance bio642 molecular interaction analysis mass spectrometry. 1. Chip-Based
 643 Analysis. Anal Chem. 1997;69:4363–8.
- 844
 85. Nelson RW, Nedelkov D, Tubbs KA. Biomolecular interaction analysis mass spectometry. BIA/MS can detect and characterize protiens in complex biological fluids at the low- to subfemtomole level. Anal Chem. 2000;72:404A–11A.
- 648 36. Bellon S, Buchmann W, Gonnet F, Jarroux N, Anger-Leroy M,
 649 Guillonneau F, et al. Hyphenation of surface plasmon resonance
 650 imaging to matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spec651 trometry by on-chip mass spectrometry and tandem mass spectrom652 etry analysis. Anal Chem. 2009;81:7695–02.
- 453 37. Musso J, Buchmann W, Gonnet F, Jarroux N, Bellon S, Frydman C,
 454 et al. Biomarkers probed in saliva by surface plasmon resonance
 455 imaging coupled to matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass
 456 spectrometry in array format. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2014;407:1285–
 457 94.
- 88. Remy-Martin F, El Osta M, Lucchi G, Zeggari R, Leblois T, Bellon
 89. S, et al. Surface plasmon resonance imaging in arrays coupled with
 mass spectrometry (SUPRA–MS): proof of concept of on-chip
 characterization of a potential breast cancer marker in human plasma. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2012;404:423–32.

- 39. Anders U, Schaefer JV, Hibti F-E, Frydman C, Suckau D, Plückthun A, et al. SPRi-MALDI MS: characterization and identification of a kinase from cell lysate by specific interaction with different designed ankyrin repeat proteins. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2017;409:1827–36.
 40. Successful C, Suckau D, King C, Suckau D, GGB D, Like A, Li
- 40. Sarrazin S, Bonnaffe D, Lubineau A, Lortat-Jacob H. Heparan sulfate mimicry: a synthetic glycoconjugate that recognizes the heparin binding domain of interferon-gamma inhibits the cytokine activity. J Biol Chem. 2005;280:37558–64. 671
 41. Zhao H, Brown Patrick H, Schuck P. On the distribution of protein 672
- Zhao H, Brown Patrick H, Schuck P. On the distribution of protein refractive index increments. Biophys J. 2011;100:2309–17.
- Tumolo T, Angnes L, Baptista MS. Determination of the refractive index increment (dn/dc) of molecule and macromolecule solutions by surface plasmon resonance. Anal Biochem. 2004;333:273–9.
- 43. Przybylski C, Gonnet F, Bonnaffe D, Hersant Y, Lortat-Jacob H, Daniel R. HABA-based ionic liquid matrices for UV-MALDI-MS analysis of heparin and heparan sulfate oligosaccharides. Głycobiology. 2010;20:224–34.
 680
- 44. Ropartz D, E BP, Przybylski C, Gonnet F, Daniel R, Fer M, et al. Performance evaluation on a wide set of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization matrices for the detection of oligosaccharides in a high-throughput mass spectrometric screening of carbohydrate depolymerizing enzymes. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom. 2011;25:2059–70.
 686
- Seffouh A, Milz F, Przybylski C, Laguri C, Oosterhof A, Bourcier S, et al. HSulf sulfatases catalyze processive and oriented 6-O-desulfation of heparan sulfate that differentially regulates fibroblast growth factor activity. FASEB J. 2013;27:2431–9.
- Przybylski C, Mokaddem M, Prull-Janssen M, Saesen E, Lortat-Jacob H, Gonnet F, et al. On-line capillary isoelectric focusing hyphenated to native electrospray ionization mass spectrometry for the characterization of interferon-[gamma] and variants. Analyst. 2015;140:543–50.
- 47. Lortat-Jacob H, Kleinman HK, Grimaud JA. High-affinity binding of interferon-gamma to a basement membrane complex (matrigel). J Clin Invest. 1991;87:878–83.
 698
- 48. Friand V, Haddad O, Papy-Garcia D, Hlawaty H, Vassy R, Hamma-Kourbali Y, et al. Glycosaminoglycan mimetics inhibit SDF-1/ CXCL12-mediated migration and invasion of human hepatoma cells. Glycobiology. 2009;19:1511–24.
 699
 700
 701
 702
- 49. Ziarek JJ, Veldkamp CT, Zhang F, Murray NJ, Kartz GA, Liang X, 703
 et al. Heparin oligosaccharides inhibit chemokine (CXC motif) ligand 12 (CXCL12) cardioprotection by binding orthogonal to the dimerization interface, promoting oligomerization, and competing with the chemokine (CXC motif) receptor 4 (CXCR4) N terminus. J 707
 Biol Chem. 2013;288:737–46. 708
- Sadir R, Baleux F, Grosdidier A, Imberty A, Lortat-Jacob H. 709 Characterization of the stromal cell-derived factor-1-heparin complex. J Biol Chem. 2001;276:8288–96. 711
- 51. Xiao Z, Zhao W, Yang B, Zhang Z, Guan H, Linhardt RJ. 712 Heparinase 1 selectivity for the 3,6-di-O-sulfo-2-deoxy-2sulfamido-α-D-glucopyranose (1,4) 2-O-sulfo-α-Lidopyranosyluronic acid (GlcNS3S6S-IdoA2S) linkages. 715 Glycobiology. 2011;21:13–22. 716
- 52. Guerrini M, Guglieri S, Casu B, Torri G, Mourier P, Boudier C, et al. Antithrombin-binding octasaccharides and role of extensions of the active pentasaccharide sequence in the specificity and strength of interaction. Evidence for very high affinity induced by an unusual glucuronic acid residue. J Biol Chem. 2008;283:26662–721 75. 722
- Schenauer MR, Leary JA. An ion mobility-mass spectrometry investigation of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1. Int J Mass Spectrom. 2009;287:70–6.
- Crown SE, Yu Y, Sweeney MD, Leary JA, Handel TM. 726 Heterodimerization of CCR2 chemokines and regulation by glycosaminoglycan binding. J Biol Chem. 2006;281:25438–46. 728

729

55.

754

755

domain of interferon-gamma and heparin-derived oligosaccharides. Biochem J. 2004;384:93–9.

blood clearance of interferon-γ and increases its activity by limiting
the processing of its carboxyl-terminal sequence. J Biol Chem.
1996;271:16139–43.
Lortat-Jacob H, Brisson C, Guerret S, Morel G. Non-receptor-

Lortat-Jacob H, Baltzer F, Grimaud J-A. Heparin decreases the

- 734mediated tissue localization of human interferon- γ : role of heparan735sulfate/heparin like moelcules. Cytokines. 1996;8:557–66.
- 57. Sadir R, Forest E, Lortat-Jacob H. The heparan sulfate binding
 sequence of interferon-gamma increased the on rate of the
 interferon-gamma-interferon-gamma receptor complex formation.
 J Biol Chem. 1998;273:10919–25.
- 58. Camejo EH, Rosengren B, Camejo G, Sartipy P, Fager G, Bondjers
 G. Interferon gamma binds to extracellular matrix chondroitinsulfate proteoglycans, thus enhancing its cellular response.
 Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 1995;15:1456–65.
- 59. Lortat-Jacob H, Grimaud JA. Binding of interferon-gamma to heparan sulfate is restricted to the heparin-like domains and involves carboxylic–but not N-sulfated–groups. Biochim Biophys Acta, Gen Subj. 1992;1117:126–30.
- Constant Strain Strai
- 61. Vanhaverbeke C, Simorre JP, Sadir R, Gans P, Lortat-Jacob H. tional c
 NMR characterization of the interaction between the C-terminal

- 62. Lortat-Jacob H, Grimaud J-A. Interferon-γ binds to heparan sulfate
 by a cluster of amino acids located in the C-terminal part of the
 molecule. FEBS Lett. 1991;280:152–4.
- Hoogewerf AJ, Kuschert GSV, Proudfoot AEI, Borlat F, Clark-Lewis I, Power CA, et al. Glycosaminoglycans mediate cell surface oligomerization of chemokines. Biochemistry. 1997;36:13570–8.
- 64. Chakravarty L, Rogers L, Quach T, Breckenridge S, Kolattukudy 762
 PE. Lysine 58 and histidine 66 at the C-terminal alpha-helix of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 are essential for glycosamino-glycan binding. J Biol Chem. 1998;273:29641–7. 765
- 65. Przybylski C, Gonnet F, Hersant Y, Bonnaffe D, Lortat-Jacob H, Daniel R. Desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry of glycosaminoglycans and their protein noncovalent complex. Anal Chem. 2010;82:9225–33.
- Przybylski C, Gonnet F, Buchmann W, Daniel R. Critical parameters for the analysis of anionic oligosaccharides by desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. J Mass Spectrom. 2012;47:1047–58. 773

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdic-
tional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.774775